Abstract ID: P34

## **BIRD COUNTS IN CALIFORNIA'S CENTRAL VALLEY WETLANDS USING OBJECT BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS**

J. Fisher<sup>a</sup>, K. Marlin<sup>a</sup>, A. Pickering<sup>a</sup>, L. Scaroni<sup>a</sup>, A.S. Weisgrau<sup>a</sup>, S.N. Kahara<sup>b</sup>, K.B. Hernandez<sup>c</sup>, E.J. Paredes<sup>a</sup>, J.E. Lamping<sup>d</sup>, K. Nijamir<sup>e</sup>, J.P.J. Manamperi<sup>f</sup>, K.A.J.M. Kuruppuarachchi<sup>g</sup>, B.D. Madurapperuma<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Forestry and Wildland Resources, Cal Poly Humboldt, Arcata, CA 95521, USA. <sup>b</sup>College of Arts and Sciences, University of New Haven, 300 Boston Post Road, West Haven, 06516, CT, USA. <sup>c</sup>Department of Wildlife, Cal Poly Humboldt, Arcata, CA 95521, USA. <sup>d</sup>Department of Geography, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403, USA. <sup>e</sup>Department of Geography, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka, University Park, Oluvil 32360, Sri Lanka. <sup>f</sup>Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda 10250, Sri Lanka, Nawala 10250, Sri Lanka.

\*bdm280@humboldt.edu

## Abstract

Recent advancements in small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS) have proved useful in monitoring and counting aquatic birds in wetlands flying over flocks without disturbing them. The objective of the study is to use a semi-automated workflow to extract waterfowl species and counts from a managed wetland in Colusa County, California. Over 560 UAV images were obtained using a DJI Mavic 2 PRO in a series of parallel flight lines at an average Ground Sample Distance (GSD) of approximately 3 cm/px. A rule-based feature extraction workflow in ENVI was used to extract waterfowl objects, using the Edge algorithm at a scale of 75% and the Full Schedule Lambda Merge algorithm at a level of 95%. An extent of waterfowl presence (6.8 ha) and waterfowl absence (1.4 ha) imagery were used for an object-based image analysis (OBIA) and we counted approximately 2,259 birds. The overall classification accuracy for identifying birds was 57.3%. The user's accuracy for birds and non-birds was 93.9% and 51.5% and producer's accuracy for birds and non-birds was 23.6% and 98.1% respectively. The unique characteristics in our study site presents challenges for conducting bird counts, which may require conducting both automated and manual counts in defined subsets of habitat.

Keywords: waterfowl, central valley, sUAS